Thomas V. Girardi, SBN 36603 (tgirardi@girardikeese.com) Graham B. Lippsmith, SBN 221984 (glippsmith@girardikeese.com) 1 GIRARDI | KEESE 2 1126 Wilshire Boulevard 3 Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 977-0211; (213) 481-1554 FAX 4 5 Lorin E. Brennan (SBN 75915)(leb@lindelaw.net) Douglas A. Linde (SBN 217584)(dal@lindelaw.net) 6 Erica L. Allen (SBN 217584)(ela@lindelaw.net) 7 THE LINDE LAW FIRM 8 9000 Sunset Blvd., Suite 1025 Los Angeles, CA 90069 9 Tel: (310) 203-9333; Fax: (310) 203-9233 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff RHYN NOLL 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SANTA ANA DIVISION 14 15 RHYN NOLL, an individual, ) Case No. SACV08-00617 AHS (MLGx) 16 Plaintiff, ) COMPLAINT FOR: 17 ) (i) TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT; v. 18 ) (ii) UNFAIR COMPETITION and ) FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN; 19 STREET SURFING, LLC, WAL-MART STORES, INC., TARGET ) (iii) COMMON LAW TRADEMARK 20 CORPORATION, TSA STORES, ) INFRINGEMENT; and ) (iv) UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES. 21 INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive. 22 ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Defendants. 23 24 25 Plaintiff RHYN NOLL ("NOLL") complains and alleges as follows: 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGMENT, etc.

Page 1

#### INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

1. This is an action for trademark infringement and unfair competition based on confusingly similar marks used on the same and related goods. As shown by attachments to this complaint, Plaintiff is the owner of the trademark STREETSURFER thich was registered in the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") on February 20, 2001 for goods in Class 28 that include skateboards and skateboard accessories. Defendants with knowledge of Plaintiff's mark, have sold and continue to sell skateboards bearing the confusingly similar name "Street Surfing" in competition with Plaintiff both within the United States and by export from the United States to Europe, Asia and Africa. In this complaint, Plaintiff seeks to recover damages for the infringement of his rights, and to obtain a permanent injunction against further infringement.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) & 1338(b) as a matter arising under a federal statute regarding trademarks along with related claims of unfair competition.
- 3. The claims asserted in this Complaint arose in this judicial district and all Defendants do business in this judicial district.
- 4. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) & 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.
- 5. This is an action arising under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C §§ 1501 et seq.) including pendant state law claims. In this action Plaintiff seeks damages, an accounting, an injunction, costs and attorneys' fees for trademark infringement (15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 & 1117) and unfair competition (15 U.S.C. § 1125), as well as damages and other relief based upon pendant claims related to the infringement and misappropriation of Plaintiff's intellectual property.

4 5

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff RHYN NOLL (sometimes referred to as "NOLL") is a resident of California.
- 7. Defendant WAL-MART STORES, INC. (sometimes referred to as "WALMART") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 702 SW 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Bentonville, Arkansas, that operates retail stores within this judicial district.
- 8. Defendant TARGET CORPORATION (sometimes referred to as "TARGET") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Minnesota with its principal place of business at 1000 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota, that operates retail stores within this judicial district.
- 9. Defendant TSA STORES, INC. (sometimes referred to as "SPORTS AUTHORITY") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 1050 W. Hampden Ave., Englewood, Colorado, that operates retail stores within this judicial district.
- 10. Defendant STREET SURFING, LLC (sometimes referred to as "STREET SURFING") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Nevada with its principal place of business at 4299 MacArthur Blvd Ste 200, Newport Beach, California.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, created, assembled, distributed, manufactured, or sold goods bearing Plaintiff's trademark or have otherwise contributed to the infringement of Plaintiff's rights in his trademark. The true names and capacities, whether corporate, individual or otherwise, of the Defendant DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained.

WALMART, TARGET, SPORTS AUTHORITY, STREET SURFING, and DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, and the term "Defendant" refers to each of these Defendants individually. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each Defendant was the agent, affiliate, officer, director, manager, principal, partner, joint venturer, alter-ego or employee of the remaining Defendants, acted within the scope of such agency and positions, and actively participated in, ratified or adopted all of the conduct alleged in this complaint, with full knowledge of all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each of the violations of Plaintiff's rights and resulting damages to Plaintiff.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. Plaintiff is a skateboard manufacturer who, since at least 1994, has manufactured and sold skateboards, and skateboard accessories, including riser pads, wheels and clothing, under the trademark STREETSURFER.
- 14. In 2000, Plaintiff applied to the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") for a federal registration of his STREETSURFER trademark. On February 20, 2001, the USPTO registered Plaintiff's STREETSURFER mark on the Principal Register under Registration No. 2430013 in Class 28 for goods described as "Skateboards and skateboard accessories, namely, riser pads."
- 15. Defendant STREET SURFING, along with Defendants Does 1 through 10, manufacture, advertise and sell a wheeled skateboard-like device bearing the word mark "Street Surfing."
- 16. Defendants WALMART, TARGET and SPORTS AUTHORITY, along with Defendants Does 1 through 10, advertise and sell at retail outlets to the public a wheeled skateboard-like device bearing the word "Street Surfing" which Plaintiff is informed and believe they acquired from the other Defendants.
  - 17. Plaintiff's STREETSURFER mark is well-known as designating

 Plaintiff's goods and is a valuable asset of Plaintiff.

- 18. Defendants' manufacture, advertising and sale of a product bearing the "Street Surfing" name is confusingly similar to Plaintiff's STREETSURFER mark and is likely to cause and have caused confusion, mistake, or deception.
- January of 2005, or such other date as may be later determined, Defendants, and each of them, have been offering for sale, selling, distributing or advertising wheeled skateboard devices in the United States using the "Street Surfing" name including on the skateboards and in advertising for such skateboards.
- 20. In addition, on information and belief Plaintiffs allege that since at least on or about January of 2005, or such other date as may be later determined, Defendants have been offering for sale, selling, distributing, advertising or exporting from the United States to other countries, including those in Europe, Asia and Africa, boards using the "Street Surfing" and in advertising for such boards.
- 21. Plaintiff has requested that Defendant STREET SURFING cease and desist from the sale of products using the "Street Surfing" name. To date, Defendant STREET SURFING has ignored Plaintiff's demand to cease and desist, and continues to sell products in willful violation of Plaintiff's federally registered mark.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

- 22. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendants' "Street Surfing" logo is a reproduction, copy, or colorable imitation of Plaintiff's registered STREETSURFER trademark.
- 24. Defendants have been selling, offering for sale, distributing, or advertising skateboards and related products using the "Street Surfing" logo in

commerce within the United States in a manner which is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive.

- 25. Defendants have been selling, offering for sale, distributing, advertising or exporting skateboards and related products using the "Street Surfing" logo from the United States to other countries including those in Europe, Asia and Africa and by so doing have engaged in acts of American foreign commerce in a manner which is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive, which is sufficiently great to cause a direct and cognizable injury to Plaintiff, and which establishes sufficiently strong links to American commerce in relation to such other countries to justify action by this court to remedy such infringing conduct.
- 26. Defendants have been applying the "Street Surfing" logo, to skateboards and related products and in advertisements intended to be used in commerce within the United States in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of their infringing products in a manner which use is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive.
- 27. Defendants, by applying their "Street Surfing" logo, to skateboards and advertisements used in international commerce including countries in Europe, Asia and Africa, have engaged in acts of American foreign commerce in a manner which is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive, which is sufficiently great to cause a direct and cognizable injury to Plaintiff, and which establishes sufficiently strong links to American commerce in relation to such other countries to justify action by this court to remedy such infringing conduct.
- 28. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to his business, including diversion of trade, loss of income and profits, and a dilution of the value of his rights in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 29. Defendants have profited from their conduct, and Plaintiff seeks a full accounting from Defendants of all infringing skateboards and related products manufactured or sold by them or under their authority using the "Street Surfing" logo,

 along with recovery of all of their profits from so doing or from otherwise engaging in the infringing conduct alleged above.

- 30. Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer irreparable injury due to the infringing conduct of Defendants unless Defendants are permanently enjoined from continuing such conduct, including in particular: (i) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution or advertising of their infringing skateboards and related products in commerce within the United States; (ii) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution, advertising or export of their infringing skateboards and related products to other countries including those in Europe, Asia and Africa; and (iii) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution, advertising or export of their infringing skateboards and related products on their web site.
- 31. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of all of his costs and attorneys fees in this case in an amount to be proven at the conclusion of this case, including expert witness fees.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF UNFAIR COMPETITION AND FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125)

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendants' conduct with respect to the use of the "Street Surfing" logo on skateboards and related products, as alleged, constitutes a use in commerce within the United States of a symbol or false designation of origin which is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendants with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' products or commercial activities by Plaintiff.
- 34. Defendants' conduct with respect to the use of their infringing skateboards and related products in international commerce including in Europe, Asia

 and Africa, as alleged, constitutes a use in American foreign commerce of a symbol or false designation of origin which is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendants with Plaintiff or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' products or commercial activities by Plaintiff, which is sufficiently great to cause a direct and cognizable injury to Plaintiff, and which establishes sufficiently strong links to American commerce in relation to such other countries to justify action by this court to remedy such infringing conduct.

- 35. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to his business including diversion of trade, loss of income and profits, and a dilution of the value of their rights in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 36. Defendants have profited from their conduct, and Plaintiff seeks a full accounting and recovery from Defendants of all profits arising from their conduct.
- 37. Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer irreparable injury due to the infringing conduct of Defendants unless Defendants are permanently enjoined from continuing such conduct, including in particular: (i) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution or advertising of their infringing skateboards and related products in commerce within the United States; (ii) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution, advertising or export of their infringing skateboards and related products to other countries including those in Europe, Asia and Africa; and (iii) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution, advertising or export of their infringing skateboards and related products on their web site.
- 38. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of all of his costs and attorneys fees in this case in an amount to be proven at the conclusion of this case, including expert witness fees.

## THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

#### (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 14259)

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Defendants' "Street Surfing" logo is a reproduction, copy, or colorable imitation of Plaintiff's STREETSURFER trademark.
- 41. Defendants have been using their "Street Surfing" logo in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of their infringing products in California in a manner which is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive.
- 42. Defendants have been applying their "Street Surfing" logo on skateboards and related products and in advertisements intended to be used in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of their infringing products in California in a manner which is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive.
- 43. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to his business including diversion of trade, loss of income and profits, and a dilution of the value of his rights in an amount to be proven at trial
- 44. Defendants have profited from their conduct, and Plaintiff seeks a full accounting from Defendants of all infringing products manufactured or sold by them or under their authority using the "Street Surfing" logo, along with recovery of all of their profits from so doing or from otherwise engaging in the infringing conduct alleged above.
- 45. Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer irreparable injury due to the infringing conduct of Defendants unless Defendants are permanently enjoined from continuing such conduct including in particular: (i) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution or advertising of their infringing skateboard devices and related products in commerce within California; and (ii) from the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or

advertising of their infringing skateboards and related products on their web site in California.

46. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of all of his costs and attorney's fees in this case in an amount to be proven at the conclusion of this case, including expert witness fees.

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF CALIFORNIA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES

(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17000 et seg.)

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants' conduct in using "Street Surfing" logo in connection with the manufacture, distribution, offering for sale or sale of skateboards and related products constitutes an unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice, and their conduct in using their "Street Surfing" logo, in connection with the advertising of skateboards and related products constitutes unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising.
- 49. Defendants' conduct in using their "Street Surfing" logo, in connection with the manufacture, distribution, offering for sale, sale or advertising of their infringing skateboards and related products is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendants with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' products or commercial activities by Plaintiff.
- 50. Plaintiff reasonably believes that Defendants' conduct as alleged above is ongoing and will continue to be a threat to Plaintiff unless Defendants are restrained and enjoined from continuing to engage in such conduct.
- 51. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and will continue to suffer injury including diversion of trade, loss of income and

profits, and a dilution of the value of his rights in an amount to be proven at trial.

- 52. Defendants have profited from their conduct, and Plaintiff seeks a full accounting from Defendants of all profits arising from their conduct and restitution or disgorgement of all such profits.
- 53. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of all of his costs in this case in an amount to be proven at the conclusion of this case, including expert witness fees.
- 54. Defendants' conduct in this case also entitles Plaintiff to recover his attorneys' fees in an amount to be proven at the conclusion of this case.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, on each on every Claim for Relief as follows:

#### On Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages as allowed by law due to such trademark infringement, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendants due to such trademark infringement, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded treble damages or profits due to such trademark infringement, as allowed by law and as the court deems fair and just; and
- 4. That Defendants, and each of them, and their respective agents and employees, and those acting in concert with them, be enjoined from further infringing Plaintiff's trademark including within the United States and by export of infringing products to other countries including Europe, Asia and Africa, and through their web page.

#### On Plaintiffs' Second Claim for Relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages as allowed by law due to such unfair competition, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendants due to such unfair competition, in an amount to be proven at the time of trial;

- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded treble damages or profits due to such unfair competition, as allowed by law and as the court deems fair and just; and
- 4. That Defendants, and each of them, and their respective agents and employees, and those acting in concert with them, be enjoined from engaging in further acts of unfair competition including within the United States, by export of infringing products to other countries including Europe, Asia and Africa, and through their web page.

#### On Plaintiffs' Third Claim for Relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages as allowed by law due to such trademark infringement, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendants due to such trademark infringement, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded any additional recovery due to such trademark infringement as may be allowed by law and as the court deems fair and just; and
- 4. That Defendants, and each of them, and their respective agents and employees, and those acting in concert with them, be enjoined from further infringing Plaintiff's trademark.

#### On Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief:

- 1. That Defendants be ordered to disgorge and Plaintiffs be granted restitution of all profits derived by Defendants due to their unfair competition, in an amount to be proven at trial; and
- 2. That Defendants, and each of them, and their respective agents and employees, and those acting in concert with them, be enjoined from engaging in such acts of unfair competition.

#### On All Claims for Relief:

In addition, an all claims for relief:

1. That Plaintiff be awarded his attorneys' fees as available under the Lanham Act, the California Unfair Practices Act, or otherwise by law;

- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs of this action, including expert witness fees; and
- 4. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems proper.

Dated: June 3, 2008

GIRARDI | KEESE THE LINDE LAW FIRM

Thomas V. Girardi Graham B. Lippsmith Lorin E. Brennan

Douglas A. Linde Erica L. Allen

Attorneys for Plaintiff RHYN NOLL

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action pursuant to F.R.C.P. 38 and the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution. Dated: June 3, 2008 GIRARDI | KEESE THE LINDE LAW FIRM Thomas VIGirardi Graham B. Lippsmith Lorin E. Brennan Douglas A. Linde Erica L. Allen Attorneys for Plaintiff RHYN NOLL 

Lorin E. Brennan, SBN 75915 Douglas A. Linde, SBN 217584 THE LINDE LAW FIRM 9000 Sunset Blvd., Ste. 1025 Los Angeles, CA 90069 (310) 203-9333 telephone						
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA					
RHYN NOLL, an individual  PLAINTIFF(S)  v.	CASE NUMBER SACV08-00617 AHS (MLGx)					
STREET SURFING, LLC, WAL-MART STORES, INC., TARGET CORPORATION, TSA STORES, INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive.  DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS					
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached 🗹	ouglas A. Linde , whose address is					

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule [2(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12.05) SUMMONS

Lorin E. Brennan, SBN 75915				
Douglas A. Linde, SBN 217584				
THE LINDE LAW FIRM 9000 Sunset Blvd., Stc. 1025				
Los Angeles, CA 90069				
(310) 203-9333 telephone				
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC				
RHYN NOLL, an individual	CASE NUMBER			
PLAINTIFF(S)	SACV08-00617 AHS (MLGx)			
STREET SURFING, LLC, WAL-MART STORES,				
INC., TARGET CORPORATION, TSA STORES,				
INC., and DOES I through 10, inclusive.	SUMMONS			
DEFENDANT(S).				
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached 🗹	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer buglas A. Linde , whose address is . If you fail to do so,			
JUN - 3 2008  Dated:	Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)			
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed			

SUMMONS

CN-01A (32 07)

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

		CIVIL COV	ER SHEET						
1 (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box i RHYN NOLL, an individu	DEFENDANTS STREET SURFING, LLC, WAL-MART STORES, INC., TARGET CORPORATION, TSA STORES, INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive.								
yourself, provide same.)  Lorin E. Brennen and Doug	ress and Telephone Number. If your last A. Linde  5, Los Angeles, CA 90069, (310)	203-9333	Attorneys (If Kn		·			alanan and a state of the state	Makayayayan massas
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	10 CTT12.F	NSHIP OF PRING X in one box for	CIPAL PARTI	ES - Fo for defe	r Diversity Cases C endant.)	Duly		
Cl 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business in this		<b>РТ</b> Б Ш4	DEF U4
🗆 2 U.S. Government Defendant	© 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)			CD 2		of Business in Another State			CD 5
		Citizen or Su	bject of a Foreign	Country [] 3	<u> </u>	Foreign Nation		416	<u> 06</u>
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1V. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1V. Original									
as an immortance to C	P 23 FT Yes DENO		II MONEY DEM.						
		h you are filing and	write a brief statem	ient of couse. T	o not cit	te jurisdictional stat	tutes unless div	ersity.	)
Defendant violated 15 U.S.	C. 1501, et seg., 15 U.S.C. 1114 a	k 1117 and 15 U.S.C	, 1125 through sale	e of boards bear	ing a nat	me confusingly sim	ilar to Plamus	i's mar	к.
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	nn X in one box only.)	<b>,</b>			T	all the same of the beauty of the same			mare appear.
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 □ USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negoriable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of □ Overpayment & □ Information (Excl. Veterans) □ 151 Recovery of Defaulted □ Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of □ Overpayment of □ Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product □ 18bility □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJU 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Pro Liability 320 Assault, Lib Slander 330 Fed. Employ Liability 340 Marine 345 Merine Prod Liability 350 Motor Vehin 355 Motor Vehin 355 Motor Vehin Product Lia 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 365 Personal Injury Prod Liability MMGRATK 368 Asbestos Penjury Prod Liability MMGRATK 462 Naturalization 463 Habeas Cor Alien Detai 465 Other Immit Actions	PRO	ther Fraud uth in Lending ther Fraud uth in Lending ther Personal coperty Damage coperty Damage coduct Liability KRUPTCY ppeal 28 USC 58 (ithdrawal 28 SC 157 LEIGHTS coting mployment cousing/Acco- modations	□ 510 □ 530 □ 535 □ 540 □ 550 □ 555 □ 610 □ 620 □ 625 □ 660	Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition	□ 710 Feir L Act □ 720 Labor Relati □ 730 Labor Report □ 740 Railw □ 790 Other □ 11tigo □ 791 Empl □ 820 Cupy □ 830 Trade □ 861 HJA □ 862 Black □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSIII □ 865 SSIII □ 864 SSIII □ 8670 Taxe or Do □ 871 IRS-	/Mgmitons /Mgmitons /Mgmitons /Mgmiton /Mgmiton ay Labor ay Labor Labor Lifty Act Lift	RITY  (1923)  (XVI (1) (1) (2) (3) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7
								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV08-00617 AHS (MLGx)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71. COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):		eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ISTNO □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre	viously filed in this court tha	t are related to the present case? 15 No 🗆 Yes				
□ B. (	Arise from the same [all for determinati for other reasons w	e or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial and entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or by related or similar questions of law and fact; or tation of labor if heard by different judges, or taking one of the factors identified above in a. b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the							
(a) List the County in this District; ( Check here if the government, it;	California County of agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State in present in a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Orange County							
(b) List the County in this District: (C) Check here if the government, it	alifornia County o	outside of this District: State invects is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District.*			California County outside of this District: State, if other than California: or Foreign Country				
Street Surfing LLC,- Orange Con	unty		Wal-Mart Stores, IncArkansas				
'		•	Target Corporation- Minnesota TSA Stores, Inc Colorado				
(c) List the County in this District: ( Note: In land condemnation ex County in this District: Orange County Colifornia	Talifornia County of seas, use the localid	nitside of this District; State in of the tract of land invol	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose, ved.  California County outside of this District: State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, US	ding. Riverside, V	entura, Santa Barbara, or	San Luis Obispo-Counties				
	C	3 <b>G</b> 27-3.	Date June 3, 2008				
	e CV-71 (JS-44) C	ivil Cover Sheet and the info	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings are of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed thing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cares						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	of Cause of Action				
<b>861</b> .	ніл .	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4. Part B. of the Federal Cool Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act. as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIMM.	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended, 142 U.S.C. 405(g))					
8 <del>64</del>	SSID	All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	to) security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
S65 RS1 All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (4 U.S.C. (g))							

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Alicemarie H. Stotler and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

	Ş	SAC	~80V	617	AHS	(MLGx)		
	Pursuant to General Ord District of California, the Mag notions.							
Α	Il discovery related motions	shou	ild be no	oticed o	n the ca	lendar of t	he Magistr	ate Judge
-	: <u></u>				=== COUNSE		<u> </u>	:-=====
A cu filed,	py of this notice must be served wa a copy of this notice must be serv	ith the	e summon n all plaint	is and co iffs).	omplaint d	on all defend	ants (if a rem	oval action is
Subs	sequent documents must be filed a	it the	following l	location:				
	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	[X]		t Fourth	on 9 <b>St.</b> , Rm. 92701-451			ivision lfth St., Rm. 134 , CA 92501
Fallu	re to file at the proper location will resul	it in yo	our docume	ents being	returned t	o you.		

Fall